D. Wang · P.R. Arelli · R.C. Shoemaker · B.W. Diers

Loci underlying resistance to Race 3 of soybean cyst nematode in Glycine soja plant introduction 468916

Received: 7 August 2000 / Accepted: 4 December 2000

Abstract Soybean cyst nematode (SCN) (*Heterodera glycines* Ichinohe) is an important soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] pest in the U.S. and throughout the world. Genetic resistance is the primary method for controlling SCN and there is a need to identify new resistance genes. *Glycine soja* Sieb. and Zucc. is the wild ancestor of domesticated soybean and is a potential source of new SCN resistance genes. The goal of this research was to map quantitative trait loci (QTLs) that provide resistance to SCN Race 3 from the *G*. *soja* plant introduction (PI) 468916. Fifty seven F_2 -derived lines from a cross between the *G. soja* PI 468916 and the *G. max* experimental line A81-356022 were tested for resistance to an SCN population with a Race-3 phenotype. These lines were also genotyped with 1,004 genetic markers and resistance genes were mapped by composite interval mapping with the computer program QTL-Cartographer. In the F_2 population, three significant $(LOD > 3.0)$ QTLs were detected that explained from 5% to 27% of the variation for Race-3 resistance. The two most significant QTLs identified in the F_2 population were tested in a population of 100 BC1 $F₂$ plants developed by crossing A81-356022 to a line from the $F₂$ population that carried the two resistance QTLs from *G. soja*. In the backcross population, both Race-3 resistance QTLs were significant, which confirms the existence of these QTLs. The QTLs identi-

Communicated by M.A. Saghai Maroof

D. Wang \cdot B.W. Diers (\boxtimes) Department of Crop Sciences, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1101 W. Peabody Drive, Urbana, IL 61801, USA e-mail: bdiers@uiuc.edu Tel.: +1-217-265-4062, Fax: +1-217-333-4834

P.R. Arelli

Department of Agronomy, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA

R.C. Shoemaker

USDA-ARS, Corn Insect and Crop Genetics Research Unit, Department of Agronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

fied in this experiment map to positions where SCN resistance genes have not been previously identified, suggesting that these are novel genes that could be useful for diversifying the resistance genes currently used in cultivar development.

Keywords *Glycine soja* · Soybean cyst nematode · Genetic mapping · Quantitative trait loci · SCN resistance

Introduction

Soybean cyst nematode is the most economically damaging soybean pest in the U.S. (Wrather et al. 1999). Once established in a field, the infestation is difficult to eradicate, resulting in the need for long-term management strategies. Crop rotation and genetic resistance currently control SCN, but SCN populations are highly heterogeneous and may eventually overcome the resistance genes currently deployed by plant breeders.

Researchers have screened the *G. max* plant introductions (PIs) in the USDA soybean germplasm collection to identify those with resistance to SCN (Diers and Arelli 1999). Although many resistant PIs have been identified, only a few have led to the release of commercial cultivars. Diers and Arelli (1999) found that in north central USA, 13 out of 16 public cultivars released during the 1990s with SCN-resistance received their resistance from PI 88788 alone. A similar trend holds for SCN-resistant cultivars from private industry. Out of 247 SCN resistant cultivars available for planting in Illinois during 1998, 230 received their resistance from PI 88788 alone (Diers and Arelli 1999).

Quantitative trait loci that provide SCN resistance from a number of resistance sources have been identified. The QTL mapping studies indicate that several sources may have resistance genes in common. For example, PI 437654 (Webb et al. 1995), PI 209332, PI 88788, PI 90763 and Peking (Concibido et al. 1996, 1997; Chang et al. 1997) all had a major SCN resistance QTL on linkage group (LG) G (Cregan et al. 1999b)

where *rhg1* maps. Peking (Matson and Williams 1965; Mahalingam and Skorupska 1995; Chang et al. 1997) and PI 437654 (Webb et al. 1995) both had a resistance gene where *Rhg4* maps near the *I* locus on LG A2 (Cregan et al. 1999b). Additionally, PI 90763 and PI 209332 (Concibido et al. 1997) were found to have a resistance gene mapping to the same location on LG J.

The lack of diversity for SCN resistance genes in soybean cultivars highlights the need for identifying new resistance genes. A potential source of new genes is *G*. *soja*, an annual species that is generally interfertile with domesticated soybean and is believed to be the ancestor of domestic species (Hymowitz and Singh 1987). There is ample evidence that *G. soja* has genetic diversity not present in soybean (Keim et al. 1989; Maughan et al. 1995) and this diversity has been exploited in genetic mapping studies of soybean. A detailed genetic map was made in a population developed from a cross between the *G. soja* line PI 468916 and the soybean experimental line A81-356022. This map is composed primarily of restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) (Shoemaker and Olson 1993) and simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers (Cregan et al. 1999b). Over 1,000 molecular markers have been placed on the genetic map developed from this population (Cregan et al. 1999b).

We recently found that PI 468916, the *G. soja* parent used in forming the genetic map discussed above, is resistant to SCN Race 3. The goal of our research was to map QTLs from PI 468916 that confer resistance to this SCN race.

Materials and methods

$F₂$ population

The population consists of 57 F_2 -derived lines from a cross between the Iowa State University experimental line A81-356022 and the *G. soja* accession PI 468916. Population development and RFLP marker testing were described in detail by Shoemaker and Specht (1995) and Shoemaker and Olson (1993). Testing of this population with SSR markers was described by Cregan et al. (1999b). The population has been used to map QTLs controlling a number of traits including hard seededness (Keim et al. 1990a), plant morphology, date of flowering and maturity (Keim et al. 1990b), protein and oil concentration (Diers et al. 1992) and fatty acid content (Diers and Shoemaker 1992).

Five F_4 plants from each F_2 -derived line were inoculated with an SCN population with a Race-3 phenotype. The SCN inoculation procedure was described in detail by Diers et al. (1997). A female index (FI) was calculated for each plant using the formula (Golden et al. 1970):

 $FI = \frac{100 \times \text{number of cysts and females per plant}}{\text{Average number of cysts and females on 'Hutcheson'}}$ $100 \times$ number of cysts and females per plant

Hutcheson also was included as a susceptible check. Lines with a FI less than 10 were classified as resistant (Golden et al. 1970). In each inoculation test, the set of SCN host differentials were included (Riggs and Schmitt 1988) and our SCN population had a Race-3 phenotype in each test. Estimates of variance components and broad-sense heritabilities for the FI values were calculated from mean squares (Fehr 1987) obtained from the PROC GLM of SAS (SAS 1988).

Backcross population

A backcross population was developed by crossing A81-356022 with a line from the $F₂$ population that, based on genetic markers, was homozygous for the two QTL alleles from *G. soja* that provide SCN resistance. This cross was made in the field in Urbana, Ill., during the summer of 1999. A $BC1F_1$ plant was grown in a greenhouse from September of 1999 to January of 2000. One hun- dred BC1F_2 plants from the cross were planted, tested with genetic markers and inoculated with an SCN population with a Race-3 phenotype. The plants were tested with SSR markers using DNA extracted from young leaf tissue according to Bell-Johnson et al. (1998). Polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were carried out according to Cregan and Quigley (1997). The PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis in 6% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels (Sambrook et al. 1989) and by staining with 1 µg ml–1 of ethidium bromide.

Molecular marker and QTL analysis

Genotypic data for a total of 1,004 genetic markers, including 501 RFLP, 486 SSR, 10 RAPD, 4 isozyme and 3 classical markers, were collected previously for the F_2 population (Shoemaker and Specht 1995; Cregan et al. 1999b). The computer program MAPMAKER/EXP 3.0 (Lander et al. 1987) was used to construct the genetic linkage map. A minimum LOD score of 3.0 and the Kosambi (1944) mapping function were used for the map construction.

Simple linear regression was performed to identify markers significantly associated with resistance to SCN Race 3 using the LRmapqtl program of QTL-CARTOGRAPHER (Basten et al. 1999). *F*-statistics were used to test the significance of the regression. A significance level of $P < 0.01$ was used to declare the regression significant. The LOD score was used to show the likelihood ratio of the marker being linked, versus unlinked, to the resistance. The LOD score is defined as $log_{10}(L1/L0)$, where L1 is the likelihood that the marker is associated with the resistance and L0 is the likelihood that the marker is not associated with the resistance.

The composite interval mapping (CIM) method (Jansen and Stam 1994; Zeng 1994) was applied to determine the locations of QTLs. The CIM was carried out with the computer program package QTL-CARTOGRAPHER (Basten et al. 1999). The CIM was run with model 6 of the Zmapqtl program and a window size of 10 cM for all analyses. The number of markers for the background control was set to 5, meaning that the five most-significant markers outside the interval under analysis were fitted to the model. The markers used for the background control were detected through forward and backward stepwise regression using the program SRmapqtl. The likelihood value of the presence of a QTL was expressed as LOD score $log_{10}(L1/L0)$, where L1 is the likelihood of the model with the putative QTL and L0 is the likelihood of the model without the QTL. The threshold of the LOD score for declaring a putative QTL significant was chosen to be 3.0. The estimate of the QTL position is the point of maximum LOD score in the region under consideration.

The phenotypic variance explained by a single QTL was estimated by the square of the partial correlation coefficient $(R²)$. Estimates of the \mathbb{R}^2 value, and additive effects for each OTL at its peak LOD position, were obtained from the output of QTL analysis using the Zmapqtl program in QTL Cartographer. The marker with the greatest \mathbb{R}^2 value from each significant QTL was included in a multivariate model with PROC GLM in SAS to estimate the total phenotypic variance $(\sigma^2$ ^p) explained by the QTL.

Eight SSR markers were chosen from regions where QTLs were detected in the F_2 population to genotype the BC1F₂ population to confirm these QTLs. Map distances among the selected markers in the $BC1F_2$ population were determined using Mapmaker with a minimum LOD score of 4.0. Analysis of QTLs for the $BC1F₂$ population was carried out using the program QTL-Cartographer with the same parameters used for the $F₂$ population.

Results

F_2 population

There was significant genetic variation in the $F₂$ population for resistance to our SCN population with a Race-3 phenotype. The line means for FI ranged from 2.3 to 90.9 with a population mean of 26.2. There were no transgressive segregants with significantly greater resistance than PI 468916, the resistant parent (Fig. 1). The broad-sense heritability for resistance was 0.75.

Simple linear regression analysis resulted in the identification of 42 markers significantly $(P < 0.01)$ associated with resistance to SCN (Table 1). Twenty three of these markers mapped to a region spanning 115 cM on LG G and 17 were located in a region covering 101 cM on LG E. The remaining two markers mapped 243-cM apart on LG C2. The CIM method located four significant LOD peaks for SCN resistance, two on LG G, and one each on LG E and C2 (Fig. 2). Three of the four LOD peaks were within the regions where markers sig-

Fig. 1 Distribution of line means for the Race-3 female index (*FI*) values in the PI 468916 by A81-356022 population of $F_{2:4}$ lines. Means of the parents are shown by *arrows*

Table 1 Markers within 30 cM of QTLs that are significant (*P* < 0.01) in the population of $F_{2.4}$ lines for resistance to soybean cyst nematode Race 3 based on simple linear regression analysis

Marker	LG^a	Position	P > F	LOD^{b}
A121 1	C ₂	0	0.008	1.6
A458 1	E	108	0.004	1.9
A455 1	E	108	0.005	1.8
R051 1	E	108	0.004	1.9
A646 1	E	108	0.006	1.7
Satt ₅₇₃	E	117	0.002	2.1
Satt ₅₉₈	E	121	0.000	3.5
$Bng107_1$	E	143	0.002	2.1
Satt288	G	212	0.000	3.6
A885 1	G	221	0.000	2.9
K493 1	G	222	0.002	2.2
bac1F11R	G	229	0.003	2.0
p28_13_2	G	230	0.001	2.4
A245 2	G	232	0.000	4.8
Satt472	G	236	0.004	1.9

^a Linkage group

^b The LOD score is defined as $log_{10}(L1/L0)$, where L1 is the likelihood that the marker is associated with the resistance and L0 is the likelihood that the marker is not associated with the resistance

nificantly $(P < 0.01)$ associated with resistance were located (Fig. 2; Table 2), indicating the presence of QTLs. The LOD peak close to Satt394 on LG G was determined to be an artifact based on results from simple

Position on linkage group C2

Fig. 2 Plots of LOD scores from the PI 468916 by A81-356022 population of $F_{2:4}$ lines. The plots show the locations of quantitative trait loci identified for the Race-3 female index (*FI 3*) on linkage groups G, E and C2. The *markers* shown are a subset of markers tested from the linkage groups. See Cregan et al. (1999b) for the relative positions of the remaining markers on the linkage groups

a Linkage group

 ϕ Position (cM) relative to the left-most marker on the linkage group (Fig. 2)

^c One-LOD support interval length (cM)

^d Estimated additive effect of substituting one allele of A81- 356022 with an allele from PI 468916

Table 3 Markers tested for association with resistance to Race-3 soybean cyst nematode in the $BC1F₂$ population based on simple regression analysis

Marker	LG^a	P > F	LOD^{b}
Satt 573 Satt ₅₉₈ Satt491 Satt185 Satt ₅₀₁ Satt ₅₀₅ Satt288 Satt472	E E E E G G G	0.007 0.001 0.114 0.169 0.001 0.004 0.001 0.000	1.6 2.3 0.6 0.4 2.6 1.8 2.3 3.0

^a Linkage group

^b The LOD score is defined as $log_{10}(L1/L0)$, where L1 is the likelihood that the marker is associated with the resistance and L0 is the likelihood that the marker is not associated with the resistance

Table 4 Map locations and estimated genetic effects of quantitative trait loci providing resistance to soybean cyst nematode Race 3 in the $BC1F₂$ population based on composite interval mapping

LG^a	Position ^b	LOD	R ²	a^c
G	37	3.8	0.36	-14.4
Е	18	4.8	0.26	-6.0

a Linkage group

^b Position (cM) relative to the left-most marker tested on the linkage group (Fig. 3)

^c Estimated additive effect of substituting one allele of A81- 356022 with one allele of PI 468916

linear regression analysis (see discussion). The resistant alleles for the QTLs on both LG E and G originated from PI 468916, whereas the resistant allele for the QTL on LG C2 originated from A81-356022 (Table 2). Of the total phenotypic variance for SCN resistance, the QTLs on LG G explained 27%, E explained 23%, and C2 5%.

When the markers nearest to the QTLs on LG G, E, and C2 were included in a three-factor analysis resistance with the PROC GLM of SAS, only A245_1 on LG G, and Satt598 on LG E were significant $(P < 0.01)$. The two significant markers together explained 66% of the phenotypic variance and there was a significant $(P < 0.01)$ interaction between them.

Fig. 3 Plots of LOD scores from the BC1F₂ population developed using PI468916 as the donor parent and A81-356022 as the recurrent parent. The plots show the locations of quantitative trait loci for the Race-3 female index (*FI 3*) on linkage groups G and E that were mapped in this population

Backcross population

The $BC1F₂$ population had a mean Race-3 FI of 28.3 with a range of 1.8 to 78.0. Eight SSR markers, four each from the regions on LG G and E where QTLs mapped in the $F₂$ population, were chosen to genotype the $BC1F_2$ population (Figs. 2, 3). Six of the eight markers were significantly $(P < 0.01)$ associated with SCN resistance in the $BC1F₂$ population based on simple regression analysis (Table 3). The CIM method confirmed the presence of the QTLs on LG G and E within the regions covered by the selected SSR markers (Fig. 3; Table 4). The QTLs on LG G explained 36%, and E explained 26%, of the total phenotypic variance for resistance in the $BC1F₂$ population. The resistant alleles for both QTLs originated from PI 468916, which is consistent with the F_2 population analysis.

Discussion

Two major QTLs that confer resistance to SCN Race 3 were mapped in a population of F_2 -derived lines and these QTLs were confirmed in a backcross population. The presence of these QTLs in the confirmation population provides strong evidence that the mapped QTLs are real and not artifacts of the analysis. The QTL on linkage group G was placed in the interval between Satt288 and Satt472 in both populations (Figs. 2 and 3) and was more than 230-cM from the *rhg1* locus. The QTL on linkage group E shifted from mapping near Satt 598 in the F_2 population to the interval between Satt598 and Satt491 in the backcross population (Figs. 2 and 3). Although the marker order is consistent, the LG E map is greatly expanded in the $F₂$ population compared to the backcross population, which may contribute to the inconsistent map positioning. The distance between Satt573 and Satt491 is 58-cM in the F_2 population, 20-cM in the backcross population, and only 7-cM apart in the University of Utah population (Cregan et al. 1999b). Additional mapping using larger populations will be needed to more-precisely map the locations of these QTLs.

The simple linear regression analysis suggests that the LOD peak close to Satt394 on LG G was most likely an artifact. The LOD peak was detected in a 21-cM interval on LG G where the mean interval was 4 cM. None of the markers within 30 cM of the LOD peak were significantly $(P < 0.01)$ associated with SCN resistance in the simple linear regression analysis. This LOD peak might have resulted from ghost effects as discussed by Martinez and Curnow (1992). The nearest marker that was significantly associated with SCN resistance was 38 cM from the LOD peak and there were four more markers that were significantly associated with SCN resistance within 12 cM of this marker. However, no QTLs were found in this region by the CIM method.

The *G. soja* parent was also found to be resistant to SCN Races 5 and 14. The F_2 population was tested with SCN populations with Race-5 and -14 phenotypes to map QTL conferring resistance to these races. A Race-5 resistance QTL was located to the same position as the Race-3 resistance QTL on LG G near marker A245_2 (data not shown), which suggests that the resistance to both races may be controlled by the same locus. No QTLs were detected for Race 14 resistance in the $F₂$ population.

The SCN resistance QTLs mapped to LG E and G may be novel resistance genes that can broaden the genetic diversity for SCN resistance in elite germplasm. These genes may be novel because there are no other reports of SCN resistance genes mapping to LG E and to the regions on G where our QTLs mapped (Diers and Arelli 1999). One gene previously mapped to LG G is *rhg*1, which maps near Satt309 (Concibido et al. 1996; Danesh et al. 1998; Cregan et al. 1999a; Meksem et al. 1999; Prabhu et al. 1999) and is more than 230-cM from the QTL peak in the $F₂$ population (Cregan et al. 1999a). The second report on LG G is a QTL from 'Peking' that maps to the restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) marker A378 (Concibido et al. 1997). This RFLP marker was not significantly associated with SCN resistance in our population and maps 35-cM from the QTL peak in the F_2 population.

Acknowledgements University of Missouri, Columbia contribution number 13,136. This research was supported in part by the Illinois Soybean Program Operating Board and the United Soybean Board. The reported experiment complies with the current U.S. law.

References

- Basten CJ, Weir BS, Zeng Z-B (1999) QTL cartographer, version 1.13. Department of Statistics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina
- Bell-Johnson B, Garvey G, Johnson J, Lightfoot D, Meksem K (1998) Biotechnology approaches to improving resistance to SCN and SDS: methods for high-throughput marker-assisted selection. Soybean Genet Newslett 25: 115-117
- Chang SJC, Doubler TW, Kilo VY, Abu Thredeih J, Prabhu R, Freire V, Suttner R, Klein J, Schmidt ME, Gibson PT, Lightfoot DA (1997) Association of loci underlying field resistance to soybean sudden death syndrome (SDS) and cyst nematode (SCN) race 3. Crop Sci 37: 965–971
- Concibido VC, Young ND, Lange DA, Denny RL, Danesh D, Orf JH (1996) Targeted comparative genome analysis and qualitative mapping of a major partial-resistance gene to the soybean cyst nematode. Theor Appl Genet 93: 234–241
- Concibido VC, Lange DA, Denny RL, Orf JH, Young ND (1997) Genome mapping of soybean cyst nematode resistance genes in 'Peking', PI 90763 and PI 88788 using DNA markers. Crop Sci 37: 258–264
- Cregan PB, Quigley CV (1997) Simple sequence repeat DNA marker analysis. In: Caetano-Anolles G, Gresshoff PM (eds) DNA markers: protocols, applications and overviews. John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp 173–185
- Cregan PB, Mudge J, Fickus EW, Danesh D, Denny R, Young ND (1999a) Two simple-sequence repeat markers to select for soybean cyst nematode resistance conditioned by the *rhg1* locus. Theor Appl Genet 99: 811–818
- Cregan PB, Jarvik T, Bush AL, Shoemaker RC, Lark KG, Kahler AL, Kaya N, VanToai TT, Lohnes DG, Chung L, Specht JE (1999b) An integrated genetic linkage map of the soybean genome. Crop Sci 39: 1464–1490
- Danesh D, Penuela S, Mudge J, Denny RL, Nordstrom H, Martinez JP, Young ND (1998) A bacterial artificial chromosome library for soybean and identification of clones near a major cyst nematode resistance gene. Theor Appl Genet 96: 196–202
- Diers BW, Arelli PR (1999) Management of parasitic nematodes of soybean through genetic resistance. In: Kauffman HE (ed) Proc World Soybean Res Conf VI. Superior Printing, Champaign, Illinois, Chicago, Illinois, USA, pp 300—306
- Diers BW, Shoemaker RC (1992) Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of soybean (oil) fatty acid content. J Am Oil Chem Soc 69: 1242–1244
- Diers BW, Keim P, Fehr WR, Shoemaker RC (1992) RFLP analysis of soybean seed protein and oil content. Theor Appl Genet 83: 608–612
- Diers BW, Skorupska HT, Rao Arelli AP, Cianzio SR (1997) Genetic relationships among soybean plant introductions with resistance to soybean cyst nematodes. Crop Sci 37: 1966–1972
- Fehr WR (1987) Principles of cultivar development. Macmillan, New York
- Golden AM, Epps JM, Riggs RD, Duclos LA, Fox JA, Bernard RL (1970) Terminology and identity of intraspecific forms of the soybean cyst nematode (*Heterodera glycines*). Plant Dis Rep 54: 544–546
- Hymowitz T, Singh RJ (1987) Taxonomy and speciation. In: Wilcox JR (ed) Soybean: improvement, production and uses, 2nd edn. Agronomy vol 16, pp 23–48
- Jansen R, Stam P (1994) High resolution of quantitative traits into multiple loci via interval mapping. Genetics 136: 1447–1455
- Keim P, Shoemaker RC, Palmer RG (1989) Restriction fragment length polymorphism diversity in soybean. Theor Appl Genet 77: 786–792
- Keim P, Diers BW, Shoemaker RC (1990a) Genetic analysis of soybean hard seededness with molecular markers. Theor Appl Genet 79: 465–469
- Keim, P, Diers BW, Olson TC, Shoemaker RC (1990b) RFLP mapping in soybean: association between marker loci and variation in quantitative traits. Genetics 126:735–742
- Kosambi DD (1944) The estimation of map distance from recombination values. Ann Eugen 12: 185–199
- Lander ES, Green P, Abrahamson J, Barlow A, Daly MJ, Lincoln SE, Newburg L (1987) MAPMAKER: an interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. Genomics 1: 174–181
- Mahalingam R, Skorupska HT (1995) DNA markers for resistance to *Heterodera glycines.* I. Race 3 in soybean cultivar Peking. Breed Sci 45: 435–443
- Martinez O, Curnow RN (1992) Estimating the locations and the sizes of the effects of quantitative trait loci using flanking markers. Theor Appl Genet 85: 480–488
- Matson AL, Williams LF (1965) Evidence of a fourth gene for resistance to the soybean cyst nematode. Crop Sci 5: 477
- Maughan PJ, Saghai Maroof MA, Buss GR (1995) Microsatellite and amplified sequence length polymorphisms in cultivated and wild soybean. Genome 38: 715–723
- Meksem K, Doubler TW, Chancharoenchai K, Njiti VN, Chang SJC, Arelli APR, Cregan PE, Gray LE, Gibson PT, Lightfoot DA (1999) Clustering among loci underlying soybean resistance to *Fusarium solani*, SDS and SCN in near-isogenic lines. Theor Appl Genet 99: 1131–1142
- Prabhu RR, Njiti VN, Bell Johnson B, Johnson JE, Schmidt ME, Klein JH, Lightfoot DA (1999) Selecting soybean cultivars for dual resistance to soybean cyst nematode and sudden death syndrome using two DNA markers. Crop Sci 39: 982–987
- Riggs RD, Schmitt DP (1988) Complete characterization of the race scheme for *Heterodera glycines*. J Nematol 20: 392–395
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York
- SAS (1988) SAS/STAT user's guide, version 6.03. SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina
- Shoemaker RC, Olson TC (1993) Molecular linkage map of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.). In: O'Brien SJ (ed) Genetic maps: locus maps of complex genomes. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, pp 6.131–6.138
- Shoemaker RC, Specht JE (1995) Integration of the soybean molecular and classical genetic linkage groups. Crop Sci 35: 436–446
- Webb DM, Baltazar BM, Rao Arelli AP, Schupp J, Clayton K, Keim P, Beavis WD (1995) Genetic mapping of soybean cyst nematode race-3 resistance loci in the soybean PI 437.654. Theor Appl Genet 91: 574–581
- Wrather JA, Anderson TR, Arsyad DM, Gai J, Ploper LD, Porta-Puglia A, Ram HH, Yorinori JT (1999) Soybean disease loss estimates for the top ten soybean producing countries in 1998. In: Kauffman HE (ed) Proc World Soybean Res Conf VI. Superior Printing, Champaign, Illinois, Chicago, Illinois, USA, pp 632–633
- Zeng ZB (1994) Precision mapping of quantitative trait loci. Genetics 136: 1457–1468